

TREE-CORING METHODS - HEMLOCK GRID PH II

Tad Zebryk

May 1990

Field Methods

Ninety-five of the largest trees occurring in the Hemlock Grid were randomly selected by computer from the tree-mapping data base (60x120-m grid). Selections were made on the basis of dbh measurement alone; all tree species were included in the pool.

→ All trees were cored with .200 Suuⁿto or Haglof increment borers. An effort was made to core as low on the trunk as possible. Constraints on coring height were imposed by microtopography, the location of previous coring holes and bole configuration. Coring heights averaged 40 (?) cm. Measurements taken in the field included the height of coring hole and length of the core obtained. The approximate cardinal direction of the core hole was noted, along with a rough estimation of the degree of deviation from true center. In most cases, more than two borings were required to obtain a core that inte^rcepted the tree pith. A maximum of 5 core holes were allotted per tree in order not to cause undue damage. If 5 cores were taken without hitting center, the best of the 5 was retained for analysis. Core holes were not plugged or disinfected. Plastic drinking straws were used to store and transport tree cores in thi^es field. The cores were later mounted on rectangles of grooved "Luan" plywood. Each core was smoothed with sand paper of increasing fineness until a glossy finish was obtained.

Tree-Ring Analysis

→ Age measurements were performed by counting tree rings at 15x with a Wild-Hur~~brug~~ stereomicroscope. The average growth increment was obtained by

Heerbrug

→ dividing tree age by total core length. Distinct transitions in ring width
(^{visually} usually identified) over a 2- or 3-year time interval served as the
criterion by which cores were divided into segments of differential growth.
Average annual growth increment for each segment was determined by dividing
→ this ^e length of the segment (mm) by the number of tree rings (years)
comprising the segment. (Where transitions between segments were of a
gradational nature, segment endpoints were located midway between rings with
maximum width contrast.) Growth increment was expressed as mm/yr for each
segment.

→ A rough quantitative estimate of relation^{ve} growth rate for each segment
was obtained by dividing segment growth rate by average growth rate for the
total core length. Two categories of reduced growth were recognized (i.e.
slow and suppressed); two categories of fast growth were also established.

Periods of differential growth for the life history of each tree were
depicted graphically. Due to the large variation in growth rate between
trees, a relative rather than an absolute approach was taken to compare tree
growth data. This was accomplished by first constructing a horizontal axis
of a length proportional to the age of the tree. Segments of differential
growth (deviations from average growth) were represented by bars drawn on
the horizontal axis: periods of slow growth were drawn below the axis while
intervals of faster growth were drawn above the axis. Bars of increased
width indicate greater relative deviations from average growth for that
particular tree. By aligning the graphs along a common margin (1990 yrs
AD), synchronous changes or trends in growth rate for trees occurring
throughout the stand could be visually determined.