

# Chronic N-amended soils exhibit an altered bacterial community structure in Harvard Forest, MA, USA

Swathi A. Turlapati<sup>1</sup>, Rakesh Minocha<sup>2</sup>, Prem Sai S. Bhiravarasa<sup>1</sup>, Louis S. Tisa<sup>3</sup>, William K. Thomas<sup>4</sup> & Subhash C. Minocha<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH, USA; <sup>2</sup>USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station, Durham, NH, USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Molecular, Cellular and Biomedical Sciences, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH, USA; and <sup>4</sup>Hubbard Center for Genome Studies, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH, USA

**Correspondence:** Rakesh Minocha, USDA – Forest Service, Northern Research Station, 271 Mast Road, Durham, NH 03824, USA. Tel.: +1 603 868 7622; fax: +1 603 868 7604; e-mail: rminocha@unh.edu or rminocha@fs.fed.us

Received 28 August 2012; revised 3 September 2012; accepted 4 September 2012.  
Final version published online 11 October 2012.

DOI: 10.1111/1574-6941.12009

Editor: Angela Sessitsch

## Keywords

bacterial composition; forest soils; indicator species; pyrosequencing; QIIME software; unique operational taxonomic units.

## Introduction

The composition of soil bacterial communities is influenced by numerous factors ranging from their geographic location (Fulthorpe *et al.*, 2008) to site-specific environment. These factors include, but are not limited to, soil type (Roesch *et al.*, 2007), soil pH, (Neufeld & Mohn, 2005; Fierer & Jackson, 2006; Lauber *et al.*, 2009; Rousk *et al.*, 2010), plant diversity and composition (Carney & Matson, 2006; Uroz *et al.*, 2010), mycorrhizal content (Uroz *et al.*, 2007), and land management practices (Wu *et al.*, 2008; Fierer *et al.*, 2011). Over the last decade, studies of forest soils have provided valuable baseline information about bacterial community structure and diversity in both undisturbed and disturbed forest ecosystems (Axelrod *et al.*, 2002; Chow *et al.*, 2002; Hackl *et al.*, 2004; Chan *et al.*, 2006). Additional studies are

## Abstract

At the Harvard Forest, Petersham, MA, the impact of 20 years of annual ammonium nitrate application to the mixed hardwood stand on soil bacterial communities was studied using 16S rRNA genes pyrosequencing. Amplification of 16S rRNA genes was done using DNA extracted from 30 soil samples (three treatments × two horizons × five subplots) collected from untreated (control), low N-amended (50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>) and high N-amended (150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>) plots. A total of 1.3 million sequences were processed using QIIME. Although *Acidobacteria* represented the most abundant phylum based on the number of sequences, *Proteobacteria* were the most diverse in terms of operational taxonomic units (OTUs). UniFrac analyses revealed that the bacterial communities differed significantly among soil horizons and treatments. Microsite variability among the five subplots was also evident. Nonmetric multidimensional scaling ordination of normalized OTU data followed by permutational MANOVA further confirmed these observations. Richness indicators and indicator species analyses revealed higher bacterial diversity associated with N amendment. Differences in bacterial diversity and community composition associated with the N treatments were also observed at lower phylogenetic levels. Only 28–35% of the 6 936 total OTUs identified were common to three treatments, while the rest were specific to one treatment or common to two.

needed to address the effects of forestland management practices on bacterial community structures.

Nitrogen (N) is a key regulator of ecosystem processes (Nadelhoffer *et al.*, 1984; Nadelhoffer, 2001; Galloway *et al.*, 2004). Whereas low N availability often limits plant growth and forest productivity in a temperate forest, soil C has been implicated as the key limiting factor for microbial metabolism (Aber *et al.*, 1998; Demoling *et al.*, 2008; Allison *et al.*, 2009, 2010). In the northeastern United States, N concentrations in forest soils have increased over time because of emissions from fossil fuel combustion (Galloway *et al.*, 1984). Bobbink *et al.* (2010) discussed the worldwide threat of environmental N deposition for eight major ecosystems and its influences on vegetation, including changes in plant communities, plant physiology, and the resistance of plants to pathogens and insect pests. Earlier reports on soils from various

forests revealed that N fertilization reduces microbial biomass and activity (Arnebrant *et al.*, 1996; Thirukkumaran & Parkinson, 2000; Bowden *et al.*, 2004; Frey *et al.*, 2004; Wallenstein *et al.*, 2006). A range of inorganic N fertilizer treatments was also demonstrated to decrease soil microbial respiration levels in aspen and pine forests as well as in grasslands (Ramirez *et al.*, 2010).

It has been proposed that elevated N in the soil may cause saturation of the N-retention capacity of forest ecosystems (Aber *et al.*, 1989; Aber, 1992). To study plant and soil microbial responses to elevated N in the soil and to mimic future N additions due to anthropogenic factors, experimental plots subjected to chronic N addition were established in 1989 within pine and mixed hardwood stands at the Harvard Forest Long-Term Ecological Research (LTER) site (<http://harvardforest.fas.harvard.edu>) in Petersham, MA. These plots have been subjected to  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  addition on a regular basis for over 20 years. Nitrogen saturation due to these treatments has negatively impacted the soils and tree growth at this site, presumably by increasing physiological stress, which leads to tree mortality (Aber *et al.*, 1993, 1998; Magill & Aber, 1998, 2000; Bauer *et al.*, 2004; Magill *et al.*, 2004; McDowell *et al.*, 2004). Frey *et al.* (2004) and Wallenstein *et al.* (2006) reported major changes in the bulk composition of soil microorganisms in response to long-term N addition; there was also a decrease in the active fungal biomass, with little change in the bacterial biomass. This resulted in negative shifts in fungal: bacterial biomass ratios, microbial biomass carbon (MBC), and selective induced respiration (SIR) rates, hinting at alterations in the microbial community composition (Wallenstein *et al.*, 2006). The decline in fungal biomass was largely attributed to a decrease in ectomycorrhizae forming associations with major hardwood species, suggesting that fungi are more susceptible to long-term N fertilization than bacteria. However, no details are available concerning the diversity of either the bacterial or fungal populations that may have been impacted by N amendment at this site. The structure of bacterial communities, including N-fixing (Tan *et al.*, 2003), nitrifying, (Compton *et al.*, 2004; He *et al.*, 2007), and denitrifying (Enwall *et al.*, 2005) bacteria, has been confirmed to change in response to N fertilization in forested and agricultural soils. In a previous study by our group, a Ca-supplemented watershed at the Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest (NH) exhibited an altered bacterial composition compared to a reference watershed (Sridevi *et al.*, 2012).

The overall goal of the present study was to test the hypotheses: (1) long-term chronic N-amended organic and mineral soils depict different bacterial community composition compared with control soil; (2) Chronic N-amended soils show an abundance of selected taxa

involved in N cycling. Using high-throughput 16S rRNA pyrosequencing (454), we investigated the changes in bacterial community structure in the soils of mixed hardwood stand at Harvard Forest in response to two decades of N fertilization. Specifically, we compared (1) the chemistry of the organic and the mineral soil horizons and (2) the bacterial community structure, diversity, and relative abundance associated with each soil horizon and treatment.

## Materials and methods

### Site description and experimental design

This work was conducted in soils from the mixed hardwood stand included in the chronic N amendment LTER study at Harvard Forest (<http://harvardforest.fas.harvard.edu>); the site coordinates are 42° 30' N and 72° 10' W. The hardwood stands were approximately 95 years old in 2009, and their history is well documented (Aber *et al.*, 1993). The dominant soils at the study site are typical dystrochrepts of glacial origin and stony to sandy loams with a thick organic horizon (Bowden *et al.*, 2004). The vegetation, climate, average rainfall, site topology, and details of the applied N amendments are described in Bowden *et al.* (2004) and Magill *et al.* (2004).

Briefly, the study involved three plots that were established as a part of a larger study initiated in 1989 (Aber *et al.*, 1993), two of which were treated with  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  every year during the growing season, whereas the third was kept as a control. Each plot (30 × 30 m) was divided into 36 subplots (each measuring 5 × 5 m). The treatments were as follows: untreated control (Con), low N (LN, treated with 50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>), and high N (HN, treated with 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>). In September 2009, soil cores were collected from five randomly selected subplots within each treatment plot using a soil corer (7.5 cm diameter) to a depth of approximately 15 cm. For each soil core, the upper, dark brown organic horizon (Org) was separated from the lower, light brown mineral horizon (Min). A total of 30 soil samples (five cores per plot × two horizons × three treatments) were collected and brought to the laboratory on ice in polyethylene bags. Each sample was given a designation consisting of the treatment name-subplot name-soil horizon. The samples were sieved (2 mm pore size) to remove roots and stones and stored at -20 °C until further use.

### Soil chemical analyses

Air-dried soil samples (20–40 g) were sent to the Soil Testing Service Laboratory at the University of Maine, Orono, ME (<http://anlab.umesci.maine.edu>) for chemical

analyses. The total N and C contents were measured by combustion analysis at 1350 °C. Exchangeable P, Al, and base cations were extracted with 1 M NH<sub>4</sub>Cl at a ratio of 2 g of organic soil or 5 g of mineral soil to 100 mL of extraction solution (Blume *et al.*, 1990). After shaking for 1 h, the extracts were vacuum filtered (Whatman # 42, Whatman Inc., Clifton, NJ) and analyzed using flame emission spectroscopy for K and Na and plasma emission spectroscopy for Ca, Mg, and Al. The exchangeable acidity was measured in 1 M of KCl extracts using endpoint titration (Blume *et al.*, 1990). The percentage of soil organic matter in the oven-dried samples was determined using loss-on-ignition (LOI) for 12 h at 550 °C with a muffle furnace. The effective cation-exchange capacity (ECEC) was calculated as the sum of the exchangeable base cations (Ca, Mg, K, and Na) plus the exchangeable acidity.

### DNA isolation, PCR amplification, and pyrosequencing

Total DNA was isolated from 0.5 g of each soil sample using the PowerSoil<sup>®</sup> DNA isolation kit (MO-BIO Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA) following the manufacturer's instructions, with minor modification that included bead beating for only 7 min with vortex adaptor to minimize DNA shearing. The obtained DNA was quantified and examined for purity (A<sub>260</sub> : A<sub>280</sub> ratio between 1.6 and 1.8 and A<sub>260</sub> : A<sub>230</sub> ratio between 2.0 and 2.2) with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). In preparation for pyrosequencing, universal primers with 30 different barcodes (10 bp, one for each of the 30 soil samples) were designed to amplify a 433-bp fragment of the hypervariable region (V6-V8) of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene from the soil samples. The primers used were F968 (5'AA CGC GAA GAACCT TAC3') and R1401-1a (5'CGG TGT GTA CAA GGC CCG GGA ACG3') as described in Brons & van Elsas (2008). The amplification reactions were conducted in triplicate using Phusion<sup>®</sup> Taq Master Mix (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) with 50 ng of template DNA in a final volume of 50 µL. The reactions were performed in a PTC-100<sup>®</sup> Programmable Thermal Cycler (MJ Research, Inc., Waltham, MA) with the following conditions: an initial denaturation at 95 °C for five min, followed by 20 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 61 °C for 30 s, and extension at 72 °C for 45 s, with a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The triplicate reaction products (amplicons) from each soil sample were pooled for sequencing (Margulies *et al.*, 2005). The pooled PCR products were purified using a DNA purification kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA) and subjected to further cleaning via the Agencourt<sup>®</sup> AMPure<sup>®</sup> XP Bead Purification

method (Agencourt Bioscience Corporation, Beverly, MA) to remove fragments < 100 bp. The quality of the PCR products was evaluated in an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer using the DNA 1000 LabChip (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). The 30 bar-coded samples were pooled in equimolar quantities and processed for sequencing in a full picotiter plate (Roche 454 GS-FLX Titanium System) at the University of Illinois, ([www.biotech.illinois.edu/centers/Keck/Highthroughput/](http://www.biotech.illinois.edu/centers/Keck/Highthroughput/)).

### Data processing

The sequencing data were processed using the Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) toolkit –Version 1.4.0 (Caporaso *et al.*, 2010 b) with default settings for most of the steps, except where specified. The pipeline used for data processing is shown in Supporting information, Fig. S1.

In the first step, the sequences were trimmed and assigned to each soil sample based on their barcodes. Using average quality scores and other parameters, the sequences were also trimmed for quality according to published recommendations (Huse *et al.*, 2008). Multiple steps were required to trim the sequences, including removal of sequences that exhibited the following characteristics: < 200 bp, ambiguous base calling, six-base homopolymer runs, lack of primers, primer mismatches, or uncorrectable barcodes. Similar sequences were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using *de novo* UCLUST (Edgar, 2010) set at a 97% identity threshold, which is a generally acceptable convention for defining an OTU (Lauber *et al.*, 2009). Each OTU consists of a group of sequences identified in a taxonomic study, without designation of their terminal taxonomic rank. The most abundant sequence in the cluster for each OTU was selected as a representative sequence for that OTU. The OTU representative sequences were submitted to NCBI Genbank and were assigned accession numbers JQ049082–JQ060105.

The representative OTU sequences were aligned using PYNAST (Caporaso *et al.*, 2010 a) with the Greengenes database (core set aligned December 16, 2010) (DeSantis *et al.*, 2006), which was set at a minimum sequence identity of 75% and a minimum sequence alignment length of 100 bp. Putative chimeric sequences were removed with Chimera Slayer (Haas *et al.*, 2011). A taxonomic designation was assigned to each representative sequence using the ribosome database project (RDP) classifier with a minimum confidence level of 0.80 (Cole *et al.*, 2005). Singleton OTUs were filtered from the OTU table using the script `filter_otus_from_otu_table`, and the output was used to perform all other downstream steps. The Lane-mask file (the Greengenes core set in the QIIME workflow)

was used to screen out hypervariable regions, and the default settings were followed for the gap fraction and the alignment threshold in the filtering step. Each of the 30 samples was rarefied to the soil sample exhibiting the lowest number of reads (11 000 sequences) for both  $\alpha$  diversity (Rarefaction curves) and  $\beta$  diversity (UniFrac) analyses. Rarefaction curves were generated for Chao1, phylogenetic diversity, and observed species. Alpha rarefaction analyses were performed by computing the average richness metric value from five subplots for each of the six soil types (three treatments  $\times$  two horizons). Unweighted UniFrac distances were computed based on the Jackknifed OTU table to create a UPGMA tree using the Fast Tree algorithm and visualized with the FIGTREE programme (Price *et al.*, 2009). This dendrogram was then used to analyze differences in bacterial community composition (Lozupone *et al.*, 2006). Weighted UniFrac distances were used to create a 2D PCoA plot. Processed data were visualized using QIIME and PC-ORD. Sequence and OTU data were also processed using Microsoft Excel.

### Unique OTU calculations

Operational taxonomic unit data were processed separately for each soil horizon and for each treatment within a horizon for (1) the mean of five subplots and (2) the number of OTUs that were unique to each treatment (where each unique OTU was counted only once, regardless of how many of the five subplots contained a copy). The number of OTUs that were unique to the organic or mineral soil samples was also calculated by counting each unique OTU only once regardless of how many of the 15 samples contained copies of that OTU (three treatments  $\times$  five subplots/treatment). Similarly, the number of OTUs that were unique to all 30 soil samples collected from the Harvard Forest soils was determined by counting each unique OTU only once, regardless of how many of the total 30 soil samples contained a copy of the OTU.

### Statistical analyses

SYSTAT (Version 10.2) software was used to carry out standard statistical tests, including paired *t*-tests and two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) on the soil chemistry data. Nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test was performed on the taxonomic data. Nonmetric dimensional scaling (NMS) analyses were conducted using PC-ORD (Version 6.03, MJM Software Design, Gleneden Beach, OR). To normalize the data, digit one was added to all data before log10 transformation. Bray–Curtis distances were used to delineate patterns between the various treatments and soil horizons (Kruskal, 1964; Mather, 1976). The following conditions were used for the NMS analyses: number of

axes = 3, maximum number of iterations = 500, stability criterion (the standard deviation in stress over the last 10 iterations) =  $10^{-6}$ , number of runs with real data = 100 and the number of runs with randomized data = 250. Random numbers were chosen as a source of starting ordinations. The tie handling was done by penalizing unequal ordination distance (Kruskal's secondary approach). The following were chosen as output options: varimax, randomization test, plot stress vs. iterations, and calculate scores for OTUs by weighted averages. Two-dimensional solutions were finally selected for these analyses based on the assessment using a graph of stress as a function of dimensionality (scree plot). A Monte Carlo test was used to test the stress and the strength of the NMS results. Two-way permutational MANOVA was conducted using the Bray–Curtis distances to evaluate the effect of the horizons and the treatments and the interaction between them. A Mantel test was conducted to evaluate the significance of the correlations among the Bray–Curtis distance scores and the soil chemistry parameters. A joint plot overlay (soil chemical parameters) indicates the relative significance of each axis on a variable based on its length and direction. Indicator species analyses were conducted separately for organic and mineral soil samples using the following setup: treatments as the grouping variable, Dufrene & Legendre's Indicator Species Analysis (quantitative or presence-absence data) and randomization test with 9999 runs. Dufrene & Legendre's (1997) method combines information on the concentration of OTUs abundance in a particular group with its occurrence in that group. It produces indicator values for each OTU for each treatment. These are then tested for statistical significance using a randomization technique. The indicator data reported here are for  $P \leq 0.05$ .

## Results

### Soil chemistry

The chemistry of the Con-Org (control organic) soil was significantly different from that of the Con-Min (control mineral) soil (Table 1). The former exhibited a lower pH and higher concentrations of all of the measured inorganic ions, acidity, cation-exchange capacity (CEC), % loss-on-ignition (LOI), % total N, and % total C, but similar C/N ratios. Amendment of the soil with low N did not affect any of the measured parameters, with the exception of resulting in a 30–50% reduction in Na ions in LN-Org (low N organic) and LN-Min (low N mineral) horizons (Table 1). However, the HN-Org (High N organic) soil possessed significantly lower K and Zn concentrations compared with the Con-Org soil; little or

**Table 1.** Soil chemistry of the control and the N-amended soils divided into their organic and mineral horizons

Mean of five subplots	Organic horizon			Mineral horizon		
	Control	Low N	High N	Control	Low N	High N
Soil pH	4.00 ± 0.10	4.10 ± 0.10	4.00 ± 0.15	4.60 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	4.70 ± 0.07 <sup>a</sup>	4.60 ± 0.09 <sup>a</sup>
% LOI (organic matter)	28.1 ± 4.6	28.7 ± 4.6	27.2 ± 3.8	9.3 ± 0.4 <sup>a</sup>	9.5 ± 0.8 <sup>a</sup>	11.2 ± 0.4 <sup>a</sup>
Total N (%)	0.60 ± 0.08	0.60 ± 0.04	0.60 ± 0.07	0.20 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.20 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.20 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>
Total C (%)	15.1 ± 2.1	15.4 ± 2.3	15.0 ± 1.9	4.30 ± 0.2 <sup>a</sup>	4.20 ± 0.4 <sup>a</sup>	5.00 ± 0.4 <sup>a</sup>
Ca (mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	115 ± 30	110 ± 12	134 ± 37	13.0 ± 2.4 <sup>a</sup>	16.2 ± 2 <sup>a</sup>	12.6 ± 3 <sup>a</sup>
K (mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	223 ± 54	132 ± 5	118 ± 15**	38.7 ± 9 <sup>b</sup>	37.7 ± 4 <sup>a</sup>	36.3 ± 4 <sup>a</sup>
Mg (mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	102.5 ± 29.1	62.3 ± 6.7	53.6 ± 12.6	11.6 ± 1.9 <sup>a</sup>	10.8 ± 1.9 <sup>a</sup>	12.0 ± 1.1 <sup>a</sup>
P (mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	24.6 ± 8.1	10.1 ± 2.0	11.5 ± 2.2	3.30 ± 0.6 <sup>a</sup>	1.60 ± 0.8 <sup>a</sup>	2.60 ± 0.2 <sup>a</sup>
Al (mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	513 ± 28	650 ± 73	595 ± 35	265 ± 29 <sup>a</sup>	224 ± 42 <sup>a</sup>	333 ± 32 <sup>a</sup>
Fe (mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	85.1 ± 7.3	66.5 ± 9.1	70.5 ± 10.9	12.7 ± 1.7 <sup>a</sup>	7.8 ± 4.0 <sup>a</sup>	19.0 ± 5.9 <sup>a</sup>
Mn (mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	26.8 ± 8.1	11.2 ± 2.1	13.5 ± 3.4	5.80 ± 2.5 <sup>b</sup>	3.60 ± 1.9 <sup>b</sup>	2.70 ± 1.7 <sup>a</sup>
Na (mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	18.9 ± 2.3	10.6 ± 1.9**	14.7 ± 2.5	6.00 ± 0.6 <sup>a</sup>	4.10 ± 0.7* <sup>a</sup>	7.90 ± 1.1 <sup>a</sup>
Zn (mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	17.80 ± 4.36	9.40 ± 1.26	7.60 ± 1.04**	2.10 ± 0.69 <sup>a</sup>	2.00 ± 0.24 <sup>a</sup>	1.80 ± 0.28 <sup>a</sup>
Acidity (meq 100 g <sup>-1</sup> )	9.80 ± 1.10	9.30 ± 1.06	11.10 ± 0.98	4.00 ± 0.28 <sup>a</sup>	3.40 ± 0.64 <sup>a</sup>	4.90 ± 0.56 <sup>a</sup>
CEC (meq)	11.9 ± 0.7	10.8 ± 1.2	12.6 ± 1.3	4.30 ± 0.3 <sup>a</sup>	3.70 ± 0.7 <sup>a</sup>	5.10 ± 0.6 <sup>a</sup>
C : N Ratio	24.7 ± 0.6	26.3 ± 2.3	23.7 ± 1.9	21.0 ± 1.6	20.8 ± 1.6	21.1 ± 1.6

The data displayed are the mean ± SE of five subplots. The superscripts <sup>a</sup> $P \leq 0.05$  and <sup>b</sup> $P \leq 0.1$  denote significant differences between the Org and Min soil samples irrespective of treatment. \* $P \leq 0.1$  and \*\* $P \leq 0.05$  denote significant differences between the Con and N-amended samples.

no change was observed for the HN-Min (High N mineral) soil.

### Pyrosequencing data analysis


A total of 1 273 206 sequences were obtained from the 30 samples; the sequence length ranged from 200 to 521 bp, with an average read length of 451 bp. Approximately 15% of these sequences were removed by quality filtering. The remaining sequences were distributed between forward primer (FP) and reverse primer (RP) sequences (approximately 42% each). The FP sequence data, for which the mean number of sequences per sample (subplot) was 17 578 ± 658, were used for the detailed analyses presented here. Clustering (by UCLUST) of all of the FP sequences (527 340) resulted in 13 060 unique OTUs (Table S1). The Org soil samples were represented by 276 693 sequences and the Min soil samples by 250 647 sequences. Greater than 99% of the representative OTU sequences could be aligned with PYNAST. Chimera Slayer detected a total of 2032 chimeric OTUs from all of the 30 samples combined (15% of the 13 060 total OTUs) which left 11 028 OTUs for downstream processing. Further analysis allowed us to assign 83.7% of the sequences from the Org soil samples and 77.7% of the sequences from the Min soil samples to various bacterial phyla. The remaining sequences (15–20%) could not be classified beyond the bacterial domain and were categorized as 'unclassified bacteria'. A small number (< 1%) of the OTUs remained unclassified under the bacteria division. After removing 4092 singletons from 11 028 OTUs, the remaining 6936

OTUs were processed further. Processing of the RP data showed only minor differences between the two sets of results (< 10% – details not shown).


### Diversity analyses

Clustering of the unweighted UniFrac values for all 30 samples via UPGMA (Fig. 1) revealed that the bacterial composition of Org and Min soil samples was similar to each other by about 85% (UniFrac,  $P$  test significance analyses,  $P < 0.001$ ). The dendrogram also showed that for most plots except for Con-Org, one subplot among five was an outlier (Fig. 1). These analyses did reveal some overlaps in the community composition of three treatment plots within each horizon. Two-dimensional PCoA plots using weighted UniFrac distances for all 30 samples depicted that the principal component 1 explained maximum variation of 15.22%, while principal component 2 explained 6.62% (Fig. 2). Organic soil samples were spatially separated from mineral samples. The figure also showed that soils from subplots for each treatment plot grouped together exhibiting similarity. Control samples for each type of soil horizon were distinctly separated from LN and HN samples.

Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMS; the final stress for the two-dimensional solution was 12.97 with 87 iterations, and the  $P$  value for stability was 0.00000) followed by permutational MANOVA (with ordination scores, that is, the Bray–Curtis distance, obtained from the normalized total OTU data) predicted that the bacterial community composition of the N-amended samples was



**Fig. 1.** Tree generated with the pairwise un-weighted UniFrac distances between the samples showing a sample on each node. Each tip represents one sample of a total of 30 (three treatments  $\times$  two soil horizons  $\times$  five subplots per treatment) and is denoted by the treatment-subplot-soil horizon; all five subplots of each soil type are represented by a single color. The colored nodes on the left indicate  $P$  values and significance level: red =  $< 0.001$ , highly significant; yellow = (0.001–0.01) significant; green = (0.01–0.05) marginally significant; and blue (0.05–0.1) suggestive.



**Fig. 2.** Two-dimensional PCoA plot generated using pairwise weighted UniFrac distances from the 30 samples.

significantly different from the control samples within the corresponding horizons (Fig. S2). In case of mineral soil, there was an overlap between LN-Min and HN-Min bacterial communities. Samples from all five subplots within a treatment plot clustered together and displayed stronger similarities among their OTUs compared to the subplots within other treatment plots. This analysis also revealed significant differences ( $P < 0.0001$ ) between the Org and Min soil horizons, irrespective of the treatment. This result could also be inferred from the length of the Bray–Curtis distance between the centroids of all of the Org vs. Min

**Table 2.** Relationship between the soil chemistry and Bray-Curtis (Sorenson) distance measures of the log<sub>10</sub>-transformed total OTU data (Mantel test)

Soil chemistry	Organic horizon		Mineral horizon	
	Mantel $r$	$P$ value	Mantel $r$	$P$ value
pH	−0.102	0.320	0.202	0.267
Ca	−0.038	0.720	0.176	0.280
P	0.235	0.033**	0.020	0.912
Mn	0.151	0.205	−0.093	0.467
K	0.201	0.091*	0.086	0.622
Zn	0.178	0.098	0.320	0.071*
Na	0.222	0.047	−0.034	0.860
Mg	0.122	0.292	0.050	0.764
Acidity	0.337	0.001**	−0.164	0.291
Al	0.155	0.176	0.029	0.843

All 15 samples from each horizon were pooled for these analyses. The iterations were set to 1000. \* $P \leq 0.01$  and \*\* $P \leq 0.05$  indicate significant correlations.

**Table 3.** Effect of N treatment on OTU diversity and richness, as indicated by phylogenetic diversity (PD) estimates, Chao1 values, and the observed species

Treatment	PD estimate mean $\pm$ error	Chao1 mean $\pm$ error	Observed species mean $\pm$ error
Pooled organic and mineral horizon			
Con	93 $\pm$ 8	2025 $\pm$ 249	1294 $\pm$ 132
LN	101 $\pm$ 7**	2330 $\pm$ 170**	1462 $\pm$ 90**
HN	102 $\pm$ 8**	2320 $\pm$ 271**	1482 $\pm$ 150**
Organic			
Con-Org	90 $\pm$ 8	1974 $\pm$ 244	1278 $\pm$ 121
LN-Org	96 $\pm$ 6*	2207 $\pm$ 150**	1415 $\pm$ 87**
HN-Org	97 $\pm$ 7*	2217 $\pm$ 261**	1401 $\pm$ 145**
Mineral			
Con-Min	95 $\pm$ 8	2076 $\pm$ 243	1310 $\pm$ 141
LN-Min	106 $\pm$ 2**	2452 $\pm$ 75**	1508 $\pm$ 64**
HN-Min	107 $\pm$ 5**	2423 $\pm$ 239**	1562 $\pm$ 106**

The data depicted are the mean of five subplots for each treatment in the organic and/or mineral horizons  $\pm$  error at 97% confidence intervals. These estimates were calculated in QIIME after normalization (rarefaction) of the data to 11 000 sequences per sample. \* $P \leq 0.1$  and \*\* $P \leq 0.05$  denote significant differences between the Con and N-amended samples. A two-sampled  $t$  test was conducted in QIIME to evaluate differences among the samples.

subplot cloud points (Fig. S2). The permutational MANOVA results revealed that there was no significant interaction between the horizon and the treatment. Whereas the horizon explained approximately 31% ( $P \leq 0.0001$ ) of the variation among the samples, the treatment accounted for < 10.4% ( $P \leq 0.002$ ) of the variation.

The Mantel test revealed that there were strong positive correlations of  $P$ ,  $K$ , and acidity with the ordination scores in the Org horizon (Table 2). In the Min horizon soils, only Zn exhibited a significant positive correlation (Table 2). A strong positive correlation with acidity indicated it strongly influenced the bacterial communities in the Org soil samples (Table 2).

Richness indices, such as PD, Chao, and Observed species, revealed significantly higher values in the N-amended soils when compared to control soils ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) that indicate greater richness of bacterial taxa in N-amended soils (Table 3). Alpha rarefaction curves also

showed increasing richness with an increase in the number of sequences analyzed for the N-amended vs. the control samples implying higher richness in N-amended soils (Table 3, Fig. S3).

### Unique OTUs

The total number of OTUs that were unique to each treatment (each OTU was counted once, regardless of how many of the subplots contained a copy) was higher than the mean OTU number (Table 4). The sequence abundance varied among the OTUs within each soil horizon: 2% of the OTUs unique to each soil horizon contained 48–52% of the sequences (high abundance OTUs); an additional 18% of the OTUs accounted for 37–41% of the sequences (intermediate abundance OTUs); and > 80% of the OTUs could be classified as low abundance OTUs and these accounted for only 10–14% of the total sequences

**Table 4.** The number of mean OTUs and the number of OTUs unique to each treatment for the organic and mineral soil horizons

Phylum	OTU numbers in the organic horizon					
	Mean $\pm$ SE Con-Org	Unique to Con-Org	Mean $\pm$ SE LN-Org	Unique to LN-Org	Mean $\pm$ SE HN-Org	Unique to HN-Org
<i>Acidobacteria</i>	290 $\pm$ 16	535	357 $\pm$ 21*	618	321 $\pm$ 18	604
<i>Actinobacteria</i>	126 $\pm$ 9	236	129 $\pm$ 6	226	139 $\pm$ 2	249
<i>Bacteroidetes</i>	7 $\pm$ 2	26	6 $\pm$ 1	21	4 $\pm$ 1	16
<i>Chlamydiae</i>	95 $\pm$ 7	282	168 $\pm$ 25**	451	129 $\pm$ 18**	384
<i>Chloroflexi</i>	0 $\pm$ 0	2	1 $\pm$ 0	3	1 $\pm$ 0	3
<i>Firmicutes</i>	10 $\pm$ 3	40	10 $\pm$ 2	31	14 $\pm$ 7	50
<i>Gemmatimonadetes</i>	6 $\pm$ 1	15	7 $\pm$ 1	16	6 $\pm$ 1	11
<i>Nitrospira</i>	0 $\pm$ 0	1	1 $\pm$ 0*	1	1 $\pm$ 0*	1
<i>Proteobacteria</i>	435 $\pm$ 32	1066	582 $\pm$ 48*	1362	513 $\pm$ 15	1223
TM7	16 $\pm$ 4	51	20 $\pm$ 3	56	25 $\pm$ 1*	68
<i>Verrucomicrobia</i>	118 $\pm$ 7	204	130 $\pm$ 6	219	131 $\pm$ 5	1097
Un-Bacteria	357 $\pm$ 28	863	464 $\pm$ 41*	1088	472 $\pm$ 36**	225
Phylum	OTU numbers in the mineral horizon					
	Mean $\pm$ SE Con-Min	Unique to Con-Min	Mean $\pm$ SE LN-Min	Unique to LN-Min	Mean $\pm$ SE HN-Min	Unique to HN-Min
<i>Acidobacteria</i>	285 $\pm$ 13	517	326 $\pm$ 16	572	322 $\pm$ 14*	571
<i>Actinobacteria</i>	99 $\pm$ 12 <sup>b</sup>	200	114 $\pm$ 13	230	118 $\pm$ 6	222
<i>Bacteroidetes</i>	4 $\pm$ 1	18	4 $\pm$ 1	14	5 $\pm$ 1	14
<i>Chlamydiae</i>	114 $\pm$ 17	357	170 $\pm$ 8**	470	143 $\pm$ 22	436
<i>Chloroflexi</i>	3 $\pm$ 0 <sup>a</sup>	4	3 $\pm$ 0	4	3 $\pm$ 1	4
<i>Firmicutes</i>	29 $\pm$ 3 <sup>a</sup>	77	30 $\pm$ 8	77	20 $\pm$ 4	55
<i>Gemmatimonadetes</i>	6 $\pm$ 0	10	8 $\pm$ 0**	14	7 $\pm$ 1	10
<i>Nitrospira</i>	1 $\pm$ 0 <sup>a</sup>	2	1 $\pm$ 0	3	1 $\pm$ 0	2
<i>Proteobacteria</i>	386 $\pm$ 42	1042	495 $\pm$ 43	1269	451 $\pm$ 34	1131
TM7	11 $\pm$ 2	39	13 $\pm$ 2	47	10 $\pm$ 1	40
<i>Verrucomicrobia</i>	109 $\pm$ 6	199	126 $\pm$ 8	239	120 $\pm$ 6*	226
Un-Bacteria	468 $\pm$ 30	1073	583 $\pm$ 26**	1294	585 $\pm$ 30**	1262


Each unique OTU was counted only once for the organic or mineral horizon of each treatment, regardless of how many of the five treatment subplots contained a copy of the OTU. Un-bacteria denotes unclassified bacteria. <sup>a</sup> $P \leq 0.05$  and <sup>b</sup> $P \leq 0.1$  denote significant differences between the Org and Min soil samples, irrespective of the treatment. \*\* $P \leq 0.05$  and \* $P \leq 0.1$  denote significant differences between the Con and N-amended samples.

(Fig. 3). This group of low abundance OTUs was the most diverse in terms of the identifiable bacterial taxa across various phyla (data not shown).

Con-Org and Con-Min contained 3324 and 3543 unique OTUs, respectively, with approximately 45% of these OTUs being shared between the two horizons (Fig. 4a). Therefore, only 4729 unique OTUs were found in the control soil samples (Org plus Min) across both horizons.

The number of OTUs in the LN (4096) and HN (3937) samples was greater than in the control (3324) samples (Fig. 4b). The number of unique OTUs within the Org soils was 5711 of a total of 11 357 identified from all 15 samples. For this calculation, each OTU was counted once, regardless of how many of the 15 samples contained a copy. Similarly, in the mineral soil horizon, the LN (4238) and HN (3979) treatments exhibited higher numbers of unique OTUs compared with the control samples (3543) (Fig. 4c). The number of unique OTUs within the Min soils was 5836 of a total of 11 760 identified from all 15 samples.

Further examination of the organic and mineral horizon OTU data revealed that among the 5711 total OTUs unique to the 15 organic samples, 9, 13, and 14% were found only in the Con-Org, LN-Org, and HN-Org samples, respectively (Fig. 4b); among the 5836 OTUs



**Fig. 3.** Relationship between the OTUs unique to each treatment and the percent of the total sequences within a treatment for the (a) organic and (b) mineral horizons. Each unique OTU was counted only once, regardless of how many of the five subplots contained a copy.

unique to the Min horizon, 9, 14 and 12% were found only in the Con-Min, LN-Min, and HN-Min samples, respectively (Fig. 4c). From 28 to 35% of the OTUs were common to all of the treatments within each horizon, whereas only approximately 10% were common to the two N-amended soils within each horizon. Overall, a greater number of OTUs were common to the N-amended soils (13–14%) than to either the LN or the HN samples and the control (5–9%) samples for each horizon (Figs. 4b and 4c). The OTUs that were unique to each treatment were further processed at the phylum level, and after the OTUs common among the three treatments were removed, the net result was the number of OTUs that were specific to each treatment (Fig. 5a and b). These data revealed that the number of treatment-specific OTUs was higher for the N treatments. Thus, the diversity and the composition of the N-amended soil were higher compared to the control soil. More details regarding the unique and shared OTU distributions among the treatments at the phylum level are shown in Table S2.

### Bacterial community composition of untreated (control) soils of Harvard Forest


Sequence tables were created by counting only those genera that were represented by 10 or more mean sequences in at least one soil type of six. The phylum *Acidobacteria* was represented by the greatest number of sequences (43–48%) in both horizons of the control soils at this site (Table 5). This group was followed in abundance by the *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, and *Verrucomicrobia*, which ranged from 4 to 16% in terms of relative sequence abundance (Table 5). The phyla *Chlamydiae*, *Firmicutes*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, TM7, *Bacteroidetes*, *Chloroflexi*, and *Nitrospira* were each contributed  $\leq 1$ –2% of the total sequences. Although the phylum *Acidobacteria* was the most abundant in terms of sequence number (Table 5), the phylum *Proteobacteria* was the most diverse in terms of OTUs (Table 4). Whereas there were many OTUs corresponding to the *Actinobacteria* in the Con-Org samples, the Con-Min samples showed a high number of OTUs representing the *Chloroflexi*, *Firmicutes*, and *Nitrospira* (Table 4).

In terms of relative sequence abundance, no significant differences were observed between the Con-Org and the Con-Min samples for *Acidobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Chlamydiae*, TM7, and *Proteobacteria* (Table 5). Other noteworthy differences in terms of the relative sequence abundance at a lower phylogenetic level are shown in Table S3.

### Bacterial communities differed among the treatments

At the phylum level, significantly more *Chlamydiae* and *Proteobacteria* sequences were observed in the LN-Org





**Fig. 4.** Venn diagrams showing the distribution of the operational taxonomic units (OTUs) that were unique or common to the (a) Control Organic and Control Mineral soil horizons; (b) Organic horizon for Con, LN, and HN treatments; and (c) Mineral horizon for the three treatments.

samples compared to the control samples (Table 6), whereas for *TM7* and unclassified bacteria sequences were higher in the HN-Org samples compared to the Con-Org. No differences with respect to sequence numbers were observed for the mineral soils at the phylum level. In terms of OTUs, LN-Org exhibited greater numbers corresponding to *Acidobacteria*, *Chlamydiae*, *Nitrospira*, *Proteobacteria*, and unclassified bacteria (Table 4). Among the mineral samples, the phyla *Chlamydiae* and *Gemmatimonadetes* displayed higher numbers of OTUs in the LN-Min samples. Additionally, *Acidobacteria* and *Verrucomicrobia* OTUs were more abundant in the HN-Min samples, whereas unclassified bacteria were more abundant in both types of N-amended Min soil samples (Table 4). To assess the differences in bacterial community structure between the control and the N-amended samples (i.e. the mean OTU and sequence numbers) at lower phylogenetic levels, analyses were performed at genus level (Table S3, Fig. S4). The OTUs and sequences corresponding to unclassified bacteria were more abundant in the N-amended samples vs. the controls from both horizons (Tables 4 and 5).

## Indicator OTUs


### Comparison of organic and mineral soil

Indicator species analyses revealed that a total of 176 and 186 OTUs were significant indicators of organic and mineral horizon, respectively ( $P \leq 0.05$ , Table 6). In phylum

*Acidobacteria*, specific indicator OTUs belonging to subdivisions Gp1, 2, 3 and 13 could be found in each horizon but those of Gp 4, 5, 6, 7 & 10 were present only in mineral soils (Table S4). Phylum *Actinobacteria* was represented by indicator OTUs of genus *Sporichthya*, *Actinospica* and *Conexibacter* in organic soils. In mineral soils it was represented mostly by OTUs of unclassified genera of families *Streptomycetaceae* and *Rubrobacteraceae*. While indicator OTUs of genus *Gemmatimonas* (phylum *Gemmatimonadetes*) were present in each horizon, presence of OTUs belonging to *Parachlamydia* (*Chlamydiae*) was indicative of mineral soils. Specific OTUs of *Chloroflexi*, *Firmicutes*, and *Nitrospira* were indicative of mineral soils. In *Proteobacteria* while some indicator OTUs of classes  $\alpha$ ,  $\delta$  &  $\gamma$  were present in each horizon, OTUs of  $\beta$ -*Proteobacteria* were indicators only of mineral soils. In phylum *Verrucomicrobia* the OTUs of genera *Opitutus* and *Verrucomicrobium* were linked with organic soils while that for Subdivision 3 and *Xiphinematobacteriaceae* were present in both horizons. Twice as many unclassified indicator OTUs were found in mineral horizon compared to organic.

### Comparison of three treatments within each soil horizon

In organic soils, in phylum *Acidobacteria* specific indicator OTUs of subdivision Gp1 were present in each of the three organic soil plots whereas those of Gp2 were present only in N-amended soils (Table S5). HN-Org, on the



**Fig. 5.** The effect of N treatment on the OTU numbers per phylum for the organic (a) and mineral (b) soil horizons. Each bar represents a unique set of OTUs that are only present in a particular sample (e.g. the OTUs present in Con-Org are absent from the N-amended LN-Org or HN-Org samples), thus indicating the change in diversity and composition with N amendment. OTUs shared among more than one treatment are absent from this figure.

other hand, had indicator OTUs of Gp13 and Gp6 subdivisions. Genus *Opiritatus* (*Verrucomicrobia*) was represented by indicator species in Con-Org and HN-Org soils (Table S4). Specific OTUs of genera *Actinospica* (*Actinobacteria*), *Mycobacterium* (*Actinobacteria*), *Parachlamydia* (*Chlamydiae*), *Rhabdochlamydia* (*Chlamydiae*), and *Bacillus* (*Firmicutes*) were indicators of LN-Org soils. Indicator OTUs of genus *Aquicella* ( $\gamma$ -*Proteobacteria*) were found in LN-Org and HN-Org soils.

In mineral soils, specific indicator OTUs for subdivisions, Gp1 and 3 (*Acidobacteria*) were present in each of the three treatments; indicator OTUs of Gp 6 were present only in LN-Min soils and for Gp5,10, and 13 were present only in HN-Min soils (Table S6). Genus *Opiritatus* (*Verrucomicrobia*) was represented by specific indicator species in all three soils. Indicator OTUs representing the

genus *Sporichthya* (*Actinobacteria*) were present in LN and HN-amended mineral soils. Specific OTUs of *Nitrospira* were indicative of LN-Min soils (Table S6). For more details on indicator species data see the Tables S4 and S5.

## Discussion

The present study tested whether N-amended forest soils had altered bacterial community composition compared to control soil and that these alterations were specific to each soil horizon. Data for zero time are not available for this study because high-throughput analytical tools for analysis of microbial populations did not exist in 1989. In addition, none of the groups working at present at Harvard Forest have frozen soils for time zero. This has also been the case for other studies that used similar approaches to analyze microbial populations in different environments (Campbell *et al.*, 2010; Shen *et al.*, 2010; Fierer *et al.*, 2011; Sridevi *et al.*, 2012).

UPGMA tree generated based on the unweighted UniFrac distances revealed significant differences between two soil horizons for each of the three treatment plots. This analysis also revealed that there were differences among the five subplots within each treatment plot, which can be ascribed to microsite-dependent heterogeneity in soil chemistry. The higher number of unique OTUs compared to the mean number of OTUs found for each treatment (Table 4) supports this argument. These results are consistent with those of Sridevi *et al.* (2012), who also observed microsite variation in soil samples from the Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest, NH. However, the variability among the subplots was much lower than the variability between the treatment plots, as demonstrated by the weighted PCoA and weighted NMS analyses.

Campbell *et al.* (2011) reported that in coastal bacterial populations, a small number of OTUs contained the majority of sequences, whereas a limited number of sequences were present in most OTUs. Based on the comparison of rRNA activity with the respective OTU frequencies, these authors further concluded that the rare group of OTUs represented more active bacteria compared to abundant OTUs. In the present study, approximately 2% of the OTUs contained 50% of the total sequences in each sample, and 10% of the total sequences generated 80% of the OTUs. However, no attempt was made in this study to relate the activity of these OTUs to their relative frequencies.

The majority (70–80%) of the sequences included in these data fell into four known bacterial phyla, *viz.*, the *Acidobacteria*, *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, and *Verrucomicrobia*, all of which are considered to be universally present in soils (Hugenholtz *et al.*, 1998; Janssen, 2006).

**Table 5.** Effect of N treatment on the relative abundance of sequences within bacterial phyla

Phylum	Organic horizon			Mineral horizon		
	Con	Low N	High N	Con	Low N	High N
<i>Acidobacteria</i>	7369 ± 385 (48%)	9069 ± 1212	8427 ± 521	6757 ± 254 (43.5%)	8020 ± 584	6164 ± 740
<i>Actinobacteria</i>	1453 ± 179 (9.5%)	1517 ± 141	1636 ± 166	696 ± 222 <sup>a</sup> (10.3%)	950 ± 313	671 ± 73
<i>Bacteroidetes</i>	10 ± 3 (0.07%)	8 ± 2	6 ± 1	9 ± 5 (0.06%)	4 ± 1	8 ± 3
<i>Chlamydiae</i>	321 ± 47 (2.1%)	531 ± 102*	451 ± 61	344 ± 73 (2.2%)	531 ± 64*	393 ± 92
<i>Chloroflexi</i>	1 ± 0 (0.01%)	1 ± 1	2 ± 2	10 ± 2 <sup>a</sup> (0.06%)	15 ± 7	11 ± 2
<i>Firmicutes</i>	19 ± 6 (0.1%)	11 ± 2	33 ± 18	92 ± 28 <sup>a</sup> (0.5%)	110 ± 61	47 ± 13
<i>Gemmatimonadetes</i>	31 ± 6 (0.2%)	36 ± 5	32 ± 5	62 ± 21 (0.4%)	78 ± 45	65 ± 16
<i>Nitrospira</i>	0 ± 0 (0%)	2 ± 1	1 ± 1	6 ± 1 <sup>a</sup> (0.04%)	42 ± 15**	16 ± 10
<i>Proteobacteria</i>	2355 ± 355 (15.4%)	3544 ± 335**	3339 ± 278*	2270 ± 679 (14.6%)	2646 ± 358	2274 ± 285
TM7	31 ± 12 (0.2%)	32 ± 7	64 ± 8**	21 ± 4 (0.1%)	18 ± 3	14 ± 2
<i>Verrucomicrobia</i>	1286 ± 95 (8.4%)	1418 ± 175	1827 ± 196**	2105 ± 258 <sup>a</sup> (13.5%)	2153 ± 146	1568 ± 79*
Un-Bacteria	2325 ± 217 (15.2%)	3016 ± 440	3411 ± 246**	3140 ± 285 <sup>a</sup> (20.2%)	4011 ± 693	3761 ± 160

The data depicted are the mean ± SE of five subplots. Un-Bacteria denotes unclassified bacteria. The values in parentheses represent a percent of a given category within the total number of bacteria; percent data are presented only for the control treatments. This table was created by counting only those genera that were represented by 10 or more mean sequences in at least one soil-type out of six. <sup>a</sup>*P* ≤ 0.05 and <sup>b</sup>*P* ≤ 0.1 denote significant differences between the Org and Min soil samples, irrespective of the treatment. \**P* ≤ 0.1 and \*\**P* ≤ 0.05 denote significant differences between the Con and N-amended samples.

**Table 6.** Unique indicator OTUs present in each soil type and treatment. OTUs that were unique to a plot at *P* ≤ 0.05 are listed below in this table. For classification of each indicator OTUs at genus level refer to Tables S3, S4 and S5.

Soil Horizon	Unique indicator Species present in each soil horizon
Organic	176
Mineral	186

Soil Horizon	Unique indicator species comparison among three types of treated soils within each horizon		
	Con	LN	HN
Organic	27	82	77
Mineral	21	40	59

The phylum *Acidobacteria* was the most abundant in terms of sequence numbers, followed by the *Proteobacteria*, which is in agreement with previous reports on forest soils across different climatic conditions (Janssen, 2006; Jones *et al.*, 2009; Nacke *et al.*, 2011). It has been suggested that soils sharing similar physicochemical characteristics harbor similar bacterial communities, despite geographic distances (Fierer & Jackson, 2006). According to Smit *et al.* (2001), the *Acidobacteria* to *Proteobacteria* ratio of > 1.0 may indicate low nutrient conditions in the soils of the Harvard Forest. Among the *Acidobacteria*, 10 subgroups (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 and 13) were detected out of a total 26 groups identified thus far (Hugenholz *et al.*, 1998; Zimmermann *et al.*, 2005; Barns *et al.*, 2007). Among the *Proteobacteria* present, the sequences from the

*γ-proteobacteria* class were more abundant than those of the other three classes.

### The bacterial communities in the organic and the mineral soil horizons are different

Whereas the organic and the mineral horizons of the control (untreated) soil samples exhibited similar total numbers of OTUs, the bacterial community structure within the two horizons was significantly different as demonstrated by the weighted NMS and weighted PCoA analyses. Only 25–30% of the total OTUs were specific to each horizon which indicates that the two horizons harbored substantially different bacterial taxa despite vertical separation of only a few centimeters. This fact was also validated by indicator species analyses. Differences in the soil chemistry of the two horizons are likely to be the major contributors to this variation. Axelrood *et al.* (2002) reported major differences in the bacterial composition of organic and mineral soils from forests in British Columbia, where *Actinobacteria* dominated the mineral soil in terms of sequence numbers, and the *β-proteobacteria* class dominated organic soil. However, in the present study, the phylum *Acidobacteria* was the most abundant in both horizons in terms of sequence numbers. Differences in the aboveground plant communities present at these study sites as well as the different methodologies used (clonal library sequencing vs. pyrosequencing) may account for these divergent results. At Harvard Forest, whereas the diversity (numbers of OTUs) of the Con-Org samples was greater than that of the Con-Min samples for *Actinobacteria*, the reverse was the case for *Firmicutes* (Table 4). Twice as many unclassified bacteria were iden-

tified as indicator OTUs in mineral soil compared to organic validating prior reports that bacterial taxa of sub-surface soils are not as well annotated as the surface soils.

*Verrucomicrobia* are found more often in deeper soil layers because they show a metabolic preference for low nutrient availability or low C concentrations (da Rocha *et al.*, 2010). Fierer *et al.* (2003) reported a decrease in soil organic C content with increasing depth. The existence of a greater number of *Verrucomicrobia* sequences in the Con-Min soil samples compared to the Con-Org samples at Harvard Forest is in accord with the above findings (Table 1).

### The bacterial communities are different in the control and the N-amended soils

The observation that only 28–35% of the unique OTUs were common to all three treatments within each soil horizon points to major rearrangements in the bacterial community structure associated with N amendment. Although each of the soil types retained its own unique bacterial community, the LN and HN samples shared a greater number of OTUs than either sample shared with the control samples. The disappearance of some OTUs and the appearance of a larger number of new ones in N-amended soils validates the above point of genera rearrangements in these soils. Indicator species (OTU) analyses validated these unique OTU observations by showing the presence of indicator OTUs that were significantly associated with a particular treatment. This observation also emphasizes the important role of N amendment in shaping the bacterial populations in forest soils. Similarly, at Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest, NH, Ca-supplemented soils exhibited a significantly different bacterial composition (20%) compared to the composition of reference watershed soils (Sridevi *et al.*, 2012).

Although the specific physiological functions of the *Acidobacteria* in the soil remain unknown, their abundance suggests that this group must play an important role in soil ecosystem functioning. *Acidobacteria* tend to favor soils with low C availability (Fierer *et al.*, 2007). The dissolved organic C content in the Harvard Forest soils was low regardless of the N amendments applied (Magill & Aber, 2000), which might be responsible for the abundance of this group in soils under all three treatments. The long-term N-fertilization regime also had no effect on the predominant Gp1 and Gp2 subdivisions within the *Acidobacteria* in terms of their relative abundance and diversity at this site. The proportions of the other subdivisions varied with the applied treatments.

Whereas the *Acidobacteria* contributed the highest number of sequences in the N-amended samples, the *Proteobacteria* displayed the greatest number of mean and

unique OTUs which implies that the latter group of organisms may adapt better to changes in the soil chemistry. The classes  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -*Proteobacteria*, whose members were most abundant in the N-amended soils at Harvard Forest, contain well-known N-fixing and N-transforming genera (e.g. *Bradyrhizobium*, *Burkholderia*, *Mesorhizobium*, and *Magnetospirillum*) as well as methane-oxidizing genera (e.g. *Methylocella*) (Dedysh *et al.*, 1998). In fact, the genus *Burkholderia* was detected as an indicator species in the HN-Min soil. Unlike previous studies that reported either positive or negative impacts of N fertilizers on the abundance of methanotrophs (Bodelier *et al.*, 2000; Mohanty *et al.*, 2006), the present data did not reveal any change in the numbers of these bacteria. A preliminary study of changes in ammonia monooxygenase (*amoA*) gene restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) patterns in response to N amendment at Harvard Forest (Compton *et al.*, 2004) found a higher abundance of *Nitrospira* compared to other ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in N-amended soils. Quantitative PCR analysis of *amoA* genes also revealed higher abundance of *Nitrospira* in N-fertilized soils compared to controls (Wertz *et al.*, 2012). Similarly, in our N-amended soil samples, higher numbers of *Nitrospira* sequences were observed, which indicates a possible increase in nitrification activity. Genus *Nitrospira* was detected in the LN-Min as an indicator species.

Nemergut *et al.* (2008) reported a higher relative abundance of the *Bacteroidetes* and the *Gemmatimonadetes* and a lower abundance of the *Verrucomicrobia* (which include methane oxidizers) (Dunfield *et al.*, 2007) in long-term N-treated soils of the alpine tundra. At Harvard Forest, the relative abundance of sequences and unique OTUs for the phylum *Verrucomicrobia* was higher in the N-amended soils, whereas there was no major change in abundances for the *Bacteroidetes* and *Gemmatimonadetes*. Because the two ecosystems possess such different plant communities, these differing responses to N amendment are understandable. In addition, the functional diversity among the members within any individual phylum is typically large; thus, it cannot be expected that all of the members of a phylum will share common ecological and metabolic characteristics. At Harvard Forest, specific OTUs of genus *Opitutus* (*Verrucomicrobia*) were detected as indicators of different soil types. This observation reveals that there were major rearrangements of species within this genus with N amendments.

### Soil chemistry and bacterial composition are strongly correlated

Whereas reductions in the soil Ca, Mg, and P contents were observed at Harvard Forest from 1995 to 2000

(Minocha *et al.*, 2000), the data included in the current study (from 2009) showed no change in the exchangeable soil Ca and Mg contents but did reveal a decrease in the K, Na, Zn, and Mn contents. Previous studies that have documented the impact of K content on plant growth and physiology support the conclusion that K plays an important role in forest primary productivity (Tripler *et al.*, 2006). The base cation losses in the soil in the N-amended plots at Harvard Forest have shown to be positively correlated with foliar nutrient imbalances, increases in foliar free putrescine (a general stress indicator in plants), growth declines, and increased mortality of red maple (Aber *et al.*, 1995; Minocha *et al.*, 1997, 2000; Bauer *et al.*, 2004; Magill *et al.*, 2004). Maple mortality in the N-amended plots during the past few years and the increase in litter fall in response to N additions may have contributed to the observed changes in the soil Ca, Mg, and P contents in 2009 and related bacterial community changes. Chemical interactions between plant and bacterial communities have been demonstrated for symbiotic associations (Bright & Bulgheresi, 2010 and references therein; Rooney *et al.*, 2010). The positive correlation between the base cations and the Bray–Curtis (Sorensen) distances for the bacterial communities of both soil horizons indicates that some of the variance in the bacterial communities may be caused by the soil chemistry of the treatment plots. These results further confirmed a strong correlation between the soil microflora and changes in soil acidity due to various environmental and anthropogenic factors and fertilizer treatments. Major rearrangements in bacterial communities in N-amended soils without any change in total C and N is an unexpected finding. The exact sequence of events accompanying or causing the changes in soil chemistry and the plant and microflora as well as the ecological and physiological impacts of these changes following N amendments are, however, not known at present.

## Conclusions

At Harvard Forest, chronic N treatment affected the diversity and the composition of bacterial communities within organic and mineral soil horizons. N-amended samples exhibited a greater richness of bacterial taxa compared with control samples. Whereas about 50% of the total sequences corresponded to only 2% of the total OTUs, approximately 10% of the total sequences were highly diverse and contributed up to 80% of the total OTUs. Shifts in the bacterial communities were obvious at the family and genus levels. The changes observed in the bacterial community structure in response to chronic N treatments may be a cumulative outcome of N-driven soil base cation changes, net changes in aboveground plant productivity, and changes in fungal

biomass as reported earlier by our and other groups. Future studies must examine the metabolic functions of these bacterial populations following changes in response to chronic N amendment and changes that occur after short-term N amendment.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Stephanie Long, Gloria Quigley, and Kenneth Dudzik for help in the collection of the soil samples and for editing of the manuscript. We also acknowledge the help of Feseha Abebe Akele in designing the primer bar codes, William A. Walters for help with QIIME, and Dr. Kevin Smith and Dr. Greg Caporaso for reviewing the manuscript. The Maine Soil Testing Service at the University of Maine is acknowledged for their help in the soil analysis. We thank Serita Frey and Scott Ollinger for providing access to the Chronic Nitrogen Amendment Study at Harvard Forest which has been maintained since 1988 by John Aber and others with funding from the National Science Foundation's Long-term Ecological Research (LTER) Program. This paper is scientific contribution Number 2470 from the New Hampshire Agricultural Experiment Station. The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

## References

- Aber JD (1992) Nitrogen cycling and nitrogen saturation in temperate forest ecosystems. *Trends Ecol Evol* **7**: 220–224.
- Aber JD, Nadelhoffer KJ, Steudler PA & Melillo JM (1989) Nitrogen saturation in northern forest ecosystems – hypotheses and implications. *Bioscience* **39**: 378–386.
- Aber JD, Alison M, Boone R, Melillo JM & Steudler P (1993) Plant and soil responses to chronic nitrogen additions at the Harvard forest. *Massachusetts. Ecol. Appl.* **3**: 156–166.
- Aber JD, Magill A, McNulty SG, Boone RD, Nadelhoffer KJ, Downs M & Hallett R (1995) Forest biogeochemistry and primary production altered by nitrogen saturation. *Water Air Soil Pollut* **85**: 1665–1670.
- Aber J, McDowell W, Nadelhoffer K, Alison M, Berntson G, Kamakea M, McNulty S, Currie W, Rustad L & Fernandez I (1998) Nitrogen saturation in temperate forest ecosystems: hypothesis revisited. *Bioscience* **48**: 921–934.
- Allison SD, LeBauer DS, Ofrecio MR, Reyes R, Ta A-M & Tran TM (2009) Low levels of nitrogen addition stimulate decomposition by boreal forest fungi. *Soil Biol Biochem* **41**: 293–302.
- Allison SD, Gartner TB, Mack MC, McGuire K & Treseder K (2010) Nitrogen alters carbon dynamics during early succession in boreal forest. *Soil Biol Biochem* **42**: 1157–1164.
- Arnebrant K, Bååth E, Söderström B & Nohrstedt H-Ö (1996) Soil microbial activity in eleven Swedish coniferous forests in relation to site fertility and nitrogen fertilization. *Scand J For Res* **11**: 1–6.
- Axelrood PE, Chow ML, Radomski CC, McDermott JM & Davies J (2002) Molecular characterization of bacterial

- diversity from British Columbia forest soils subjected to disturbance. *Can J Microbiol* **48**: 655–674.
- Barns SM, Cain EC, Sommerville L & Kuske CR (2007) Acidobacteria Phylum sequences in uranium-contaminated subsurface sediments greatly expand the known diversity within the phylum. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **73**: 3113–3116.
- Bauer GA, Bazzaz FA, Minocha R, Long S, Magill A, Aber J & Berntson GM (2004) Effects of chronic N additions on tissue chemistry, photosynthetic capacity, and carbon sequestration potential of a red pine (*Pinus resinosa* Ait.) stand in the NE United States. *For Ecol Manage* **196**: 173–186.
- Blume LJ, Corvallis Environmental Research L, Environmental Monitoring Systems L, Aquatic Effects Research P, National Acid Precipitation Assessment P, United States. Environmental Protection Agency. Office of R, Development, United States. Environmental Protection Agency. Office of Environmental P, Effects R, United States. Environmental Protection Agency. Office of Modeling MS *et al.* (1990) *Handbook of Methods for Acid Deposition Studies: Laboratory Analyses for Soil Chemistry*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Modeling, Monitoring Systems, and Quality Assurance, Office of Ecological Processes and Effects Research, Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC.
- Bobbink R, Hicks K, Galloway J *et al.* (2010) Global assessment of nitrogen deposition effects on terrestrial plant diversity: a synthesis. *Ecol Appl* **20**: 30–59.
- Bodelier PLE, Roslev P, Henckel T & Frenzel P (2000) Stimulation by ammonium-based fertilizers of methane oxidation in soil around rice roots. *Nature* **403**: 421–424.
- Bowden RD, Davidson E, Savage K, Arabia C & Steudler P (2004) Chronic nitrogen additions reduce total soil respiration and microbial respiration in temperate forest soils at the Harvard Forest. *For Ecol Manage* **196**: 43–56.
- Bright M & Bulgheresi S (2010) A complex journey: transmission of microbial symbionts. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **8**: 218–230.
- Brons JK & van Elsas JD (2008) Analysis of bacterial communities in soil by use of denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis and clone libraries, as influenced by different reverse primers. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**: 2717–2727.
- Campbell BJ, Polson SW, Hanson TE, Mack MC & Schuur EA (2010) The effect of nutrient deposition on bacterial communities in arctic tundra soil. *Environ Microbiol* **12**: 1842–1854.
- Campbell BJ, Yu L, Heidelberg JF & Kirchman DL (2011) Activity of abundant and rare bacteria in a coastal ocean. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **108**: 12776–12781.
- Caporaso JG, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, DeSantis TZ, Andersen GL & Knight R (2010a) PyNAST: a flexible tool for aligning sequences to a template alignment. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 266–267.
- Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J *et al.* (2010b) QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat Methods* **7**: 335–336.
- Carney KM & Matson PA (2006) The influence of tropical plant diversity and composition on soil microbial communities. *Microb Ecol* **52**: 226–238.
- Chan OC, Yang X, Fu Y, Feng Z, Sha L, Casper P & Zou X (2006) 16S rRNA gene analyses of bacterial community structures in the soils of evergreen broad-leaved forests in south-west China. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **58**: 247–259.
- Chow ML, Radomski CC, McDermott JM, Davies J & Axelrood PE (2002) Molecular characterization of bacterial diversity in Lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*) rhizosphere soils from British Columbia forest soils differing in disturbance and geographic source. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **42**: 347–357.
- Cole JR, Chai B, Farris RJ, Wang Q, Kulam SA, McGarrell DM, Garrity GM & Tiedje JM (2005) The Ribosomal Database Project (RDP-II): sequences and tools for high-throughput rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* **33**: D294–D296.
- Compton JE, Watrud LS, Arlene Porteous L & DeGroot S (2004) Response of soil microbial biomass and community composition to chronic nitrogen additions at Harvard Forest. *For Ecol Manage* **196**: 143–158.
- Dedysh SN, Panikov NS, Liesack W, GroÅÿkopf R, Zhou J & Tiedje JM (1998) Isolation of acidophilic methane-oxidizing bacteria from northern peat wetlands. *Science* **282**: 281–284.
- Demoling F, Ola Nilsson L & Bååth E (2008) Bacterial and fungal response to nitrogen fertilization in three coniferous forest soils. *Soil Biol Biochem* **40**: 370–379.
- DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, Rojas M, Brodie EL, Keller K, Huber T, Dalevi D, Hu P & Andersen GL (2006) Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**: 5069–5072.
- Dufrene M & Legendre P (1997) Species assemblages and indicator species: the need for a flexible asymmetrical approach. *Ecol Monogr* **67**: 345–366.
- Dunfield PF, Yuryev A, Senin P *et al.* (2007) Methane oxidation by an extremely acidophilic bacterium of the phylum Verrucomicrobia. *Nature* **450**: 879–882.
- Edgar RC (2010) Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 2460–2461.
- Enwall K, Philippot L & Hallin S (2005) Activity and composition of the denitrifying bacterial community respond differently to long-term fertilization. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **71**: 8335–8343.
- Fierer N & Jackson RB (2006) The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **103**: 626–631.
- Fierer N, Allen AS, Schimel JP & Holden PA (2003) Controls on microbial CO<sub>2</sub> production: a comparison of surface and subsurface soil horizons. *Glob Change Biol* **9**: 1322–1332.
- Fierer N, Bradford MA & Jackson RB (2007) Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria. *Ecology* **88**: 1354–1364.

- Fierer N, Lauber CL, Ramirez KS, Zaneveld J, Bradford MA & Knight R (2011) Comparative metagenomic, phylogenetic and physiological analyses of soil microbial communities across nitrogen gradients. *ISME J* **6**: 1007–1017.
- Frey SD, Knorr M, Parrent JL & Simpson RT (2004) Chronic nitrogen enrichment affects the structure and function of the soil microbial community in temperate hardwood and pine forests. *For Ecol Manage* **196**: 159–171.
- Fulthorpe RR, Roesch LFW, Riva A & Triplett EW (2008) Distantly sampled soils carry few species in common. *ISME J* **2**: 901–910.
- Galloway JN, Likens GE & Hawley ME (1984) Acid precipitation: natural versus anthropogenic components. *Science* **226**: 829–831.
- Galloway JN, Dentener FJ, Capone DG *et al.* (2004) Nitrogen cycles: past, present, and future. *Biogeochemistry* **70**: 153–226.
- Haas J, Brian Gevers D, Earl M *et al.* (2011) Chimeric 16S rRNA sequence formation and detection in Sanger and 454-pyrosequenced PCR amplicons. *Genome Res* **21**: 494–504.
- Hackl E, Zechmeister-Boltenstern S, Bodrossy L & Sessitsch A (2004) Comparison of diversities and compositions of bacterial populations inhabiting natural forest soils. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**: 5057–5065.
- He JZ, Shen JP, Zhang LM, Zhu YG, Zheng YM, Xu MG & Di H (2007) Quantitative analyses of the abundance and composition of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and ammonia-oxidizing archaea of a Chinese upland red soil under long-term fertilization practices. *Environ Microbiol* **9**: 2364–2374.
- Hugenholtz P, Goebel B & Pace N (1998) Impact of culture-independent studies on the emerging phylogenetic view of bacterial diversity. *J Bacteriol* **180**: 4765–4774.
- Huse SM, Dethlefsen L, Huber JA, Mark Welch D, Relman DA & Sogin ML (2008) Exploring microbial diversity and taxonomy using SSU rRNA hypervariable tag sequencing. *PLoS Genet* **4**: e1000255.
- Janssen PH (2006) Identifying the dominant soil bacterial taxa in libraries of 16S rRNA and 16S rRNA genes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**: 1719–1728.
- Jones RT, Robeson MS, Lauber CL, Hamady M, Knight R & Fierer N (2009) A comprehensive survey of soil acidobacterial diversity using pyrosequencing and clone library analyses. *ISME J* **3**: 442–453.
- Kruskal J (1964) Multidimensional scaling by optimizing goodness of fit to a nonmetric hypothesis. *Psychometrika* **29**: 1–27.
- Lauber CL, Hamady M, Knight R & Fierer N (2009) Pyrosequencing-based assessment of soil pH as a predictor of soil bacterial community structure at the continental scale. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **75**: 5111–5120.
- Lozupone C, Hamady M & Knight R (2006) UniFrac – an online tool for comparing microbial community diversity in a phylogenetic context. *BMC Bioinformatics* **7**: 371.
- Magill AH & Aber JD (1998) Long-term effects of experimental nitrogen additions on foliar litter decay and humus formation in forest ecosystems. *Plant Soil* **203**: 301–311.
- Magill A & Aber J (2000) Dissolved organic carbon and nitrogen relationships in forest litter as affected by nitrogen deposition. *Soil Biol Biochem* **32**: 603–613.
- Magill AH, Aber JD, Currie WS, Nadelhoffer KJ, Martin ME, McDowell WH, Melillo JM & Steudler P (2004) Ecosystem response to 15 years of chronic nitrogen additions at the Harvard Forest LTER, Massachusetts, USA. *For Ecol Manage* **196**: 7–28.
- Margulies M, Egholm M, Altman WE *et al.* (2005) Genome sequencing in microfabricated high-density picolitre reactors. *Nature* **437**: 376–380.
- Mather M (1976) Pullbacks in homotopy theory. *Can J Math* **28**: 225–263.
- McDowell WH, Magill AH, Aitkenhead-Peterson JA, Aber JD, Merriam JL & Kaushal SS (2004) Effects of chronic nitrogen amendment on dissolved organic matter and inorganic nitrogen in soil solution. *For Ecol Manage* **196**: 29–41.
- Minocha R, Shortle WC, Lawrence GB, David MB & Minocha SC (1997) A relationship among foliar chemistry, foliar polyamines, and soil chemistry in red spruce trees growing across the northeastern United States. *Plant Soil* **191**: 109–122.
- Minocha R, Long S, Magill AH, Aber J & McDowell WH (2000) Foliar free polyamine and inorganic ion content in relation to soil and soil solution chemistry in two fertilized forest stands at the Harvard Forest, Massachusetts. *Plant Soil* **222**: 119–137.
- Mohanty SR, Bodelier PLE, Floris V & Conrad R (2006) Differential effects of nitrogenous fertilizers on methane-consuming microbes in rice field and forest soils. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**: 1346–1354.
- Nacke H, Thürmer A, Wollherr A, Will C, Hodac L, Herold N, Schöning I, Schrupp M & Daniel R (2011) Pyrosequencing-based assessment of bacterial community structure along different management types in German forest and grassland soils. *PLoS ONE* **6**: e17000.
- Nadelhoffer KJ (2001) The impacts of nitrogen deposition on forest ecosystems. *Nitrogen in the Environment: Sources, Problems and Management*. (Follett RF & Hatfield JL, eds), pp. 311–331. Elsevier, New York.
- Nadelhoffer K, Aber J & Melillo J (1984) Seasonal patterns of ammonium and nitrate uptake in nine temperate forest ecosystems. *Plant Soil* **80**: 321–335.
- Nemergut DR, Townsend AR, Sattin SR, Freeman KR, Fierer N, Neff JC, Bowman WD, Schadt CW, Weintraub MN & Schmidt SK (2008) The effects of chronic nitrogen fertilization on alpine tundra soil microbial communities: implications for carbon and nitrogen cycling. *Environ Microbiol* **10**: 3093–3105.
- Neufeld JD & Mohn WW (2005) Unexpectedly high bacterial diversity in arctic tundra relative to boreal forest soils, revealed by serial analysis of ribosomal sequence tags. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **71**: 5710–5718.
- Price MN, Dehal PS & Arkin AP (2009) FastTree: computing large minimum evolution trees with profiles instead of a distance matrix. *Mol Biol Evol* **26**: 1641–1650.

- Ramirez KS, Lauber CL, Knight R, Bradford MA & Fierer N (2010) Consistent effects of nitrogen fertilization on soil bacterial communities in contrasting systems. *Ecology* **91**: 3463–3470; discussion 3503–3414.
- da Rocha UN, van Elsland JD & van Overbeek LS (2010) Real-time PCR detection of Holophagae (Acidobacteria) and Verrucomicrobia subdivision 1 groups in bulk and leek (*Allium porrum*) rhizosphere soils. *J Microbiol Methods* **83**: 141–148.
- Roesch LFW, Fulthorpe RR, Riva A, Casella G, Hadwin AKM, Kent AD, Daroub SH, Camargo FAO, Farmerie WG & Triplett EW (2007) Pyrosequencing enumerates and contrasts soil microbial diversity. *ISME J* **1**: 283–290.
- Rooney DC, Kennedy N, Gleeson DB & Clipson NJW (2010) Responses of ammonia-oxidising bacterial communities to nitrogen, lime, and plant species in upland grassland soil. *Appl Environ Soil Sci* **2010**: 1–7.
- Rousk J, Baath E, Brookes PC, Lauber CL, Lozupone C, Caporaso JG, Knight R & Fierer N (2010) Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil. *ISME J* **4**: 1340–1351.
- Shen J-P, Zhang L-M, Guo J-F, Ray JL & He J-Z (2010) Impact of long-term fertilization practices on the abundance and composition of soil bacterial communities in northeast China. *Appl Soil Ecol* **46**: 119–124.
- Smit E, Leeflang P, Gommans S, van den Broek J, van Mil S & Wernars K (2001) Diversity and seasonal fluctuations of the dominant members of the bacterial soil community in a wheat field as determined by cultivation and molecular methods. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **67**: 2284–2291.
- Sridevi G, Minocha R, Turlapati SA, Goldfarb KC, Brodie EL, Tisa LS & Minocha SC (2012) Soil bacterial communities of a calcium-supplemented and a reference watershed at the Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest (HBEF), New Hampshire, USA. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **79**: 728–740.
- Tan Z, Hurek T & Reinhold-Hurek B (2003) Effect of N-fertilization, plant genotype and environmental conditions on nifH gene pools in roots of rice. *Environ Microbiol* **5**: 1009–1015.
- Thirukkumaran CM & Parkinson D (2000) Microbial respiration, biomass, metabolic quotient and litter decomposition in a lodgepole pine forest floor amended with nitrogen and phosphorous fertilizers. *Soil Biol Biochem* **32**: 59–66.
- Tripler CE, Kaushal SS, Likens GE & Walter MT (2006) Patterns in potassium dynamics in forest ecosystems. *Ecol Lett* **9**: 451–466.
- Uroz S, Calvaruso C, Turpault MP, Pierrat JC, Mustin C & Frey-Klett P (2007) Effect of the mycorrhizosphere on the genotypic and metabolic diversity of the bacterial communities involved in mineral weathering in a forest soil. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **73**: 3019–3027.
- Uroz S, Buée M, Murat C, Frey-Klett P & Martin F (2010) Pyrosequencing reveals a contrasted bacterial diversity between oak rhizosphere and surrounding soil. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **2**: 281–288.
- Wallenstein MD, McNulty S, Fernandez IJ, Boggs J & Schlesinger WH (2006) Nitrogen fertilization decreases forest soil fungal and bacterial biomass in three long-term experiments. *For Ecol Manage* **222**: 459–468.
- Wertz S, Leigh AKK & Grayston SJ (2012) Effects of long-term fertilization of forest soils on potential nitrification and on the abundance and community structure of ammonia oxidizers and nitrite oxidizers. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **79**: 142–154.
- Wu T, Chellemi DO, Graham JH, Martin KJ & Roskopf EN (2008) Comparison of soil bacterial communities under diverse agricultural land management and crop production practices. *Microb Ecol* **55**: 293–310.
- Zimmermann J, Gonzalez J, Saiz-Jimenez C & Ludwig W (2005) Detection and phylogenetic relationships of highly diverse uncultured acidobacterial communities in altamira cave using 23S rRNA sequence analyses. *Geomicrobiol J* **22**: 379–388.

## Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

**Fig. S1.** A detailed flow chart of the third party tools used within the QIIME toolkit.

**Fig. S2.** NMS ordination calculated from OTU raw data from the 30 soil samples. Each soil type is represented by five replicates and a centroid, which is indicated by a single color and number.

**Fig. S3.** The rarefaction curves of the control and N-amended soil samples of organic (a, c, and e) and mineral horizons (b, d, and f).

**Fig. S4.** The fold changes in the numbers of OTUs among various known genera and unclassified family members from the N-amended soils relative to the control soils.

**Table S1.** Raw data on total number of sequences and OTUs for each of the 30 soil samples before and after filtering singletons.

**Table S2.** Effects of N treatments on relative abundance of OTUs (% of total) of bacterial phyla those were unique to organic or mineral soil horizons.

**Table S3.** Effect of N treatment on the relative abundance of sequences within *Acidobacteria* groups and genera of other phyla for organic and mineral soil horizons.

**Table S4.** Indicator species unique to each untreated soil horizon.

**Table S5.** Indicator species unique to each treatment in the organic soil horizon.

**Table S6.** Indicator species unique to each treatment in the mineral soil horizon.

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.